International Research Journal in Global Engineering and Sciences. (IRJGES) ISSN : 2456-172X | Vol. 1, No. 3, November, 2016 | Pages 62 - 69

Mechanized Machine Erudition Categorization of Alzheimer's Disease Based on Preferred Slices

T. R. Jerald beno, K. Karthick Babu

Department of Biomedical Engineering Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Engineering College, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract: The most dominant form of dementia, memory loss, is Alzheimer's disorder (AD). Imaging is important for monitoring, diagnosis, and schooling of Alzheimer's disorder prediction. Automated classification of subjects may want to furnish guide for clinicians. This find out about examined two classification techniques to separate amongst elderly persons with normal cognitive (NC), Alzheimer's ailment (AD), and moderate cognitive impairment (MCI) by the usage of pics from the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The dataset consists of 120 subjects separated into forty ADs, 40 MCIs, and 40 NCs. The first approach used to be K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) and the second approach was once Support Vector Machine (SVM), firstly all the topics have been filtered and normalized, secondly, twelve facets were extracted. After characteristic selection, two methods of classification have been examined with Permutations and combos for all features in order to pick out the great points which have the best possible accuracy for identification of the classes. The great common accuracy was 97.92% using SVM polynomial order three, and satisfactory all common accuracy used to be 95.833% using KNN with K=6, and K=7 for random decision of testing information with SVM and KNN. The effects exhibit a quite high classification accuracy between the three medical categories. In summary, the proposed automated classification technique can be used as a noninvasive diagnostic tool for Alzheimer's disease, with the functionality of defining early stages of the disease.

Keywords – Classification, Support Vector Machine, K-nearest NeighborAlzheimer's Disease, Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Feature Extraction

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurological trouble that penalties for individuals of age greater than 60 years of age. The illness is expanded in the scope of four to 6 years [1]. It used to be described for the first time through Alois Alzheimer, according to the extend in a wide variety of folks with Alzheimer disease, signs and symptoms and cure have been intensively investigated, Wither whilst it is aside from a few exceptions. Risk factors have been raised only in the final years the elements that set off the AD onset of AD remained unknown [2]. It is a standout amongst the most broadly identified pathologies infections that penalties for individuals. It grew to become out to be awful after some time, it influences cerebrum cells and motives the degeneration of those cells Responsible for reminiscence [3, 4]. Classification and Features extraction are vital Parts for the recognition system that have a huge have an effect on on the performance of the gadget [5]. Several strategies are used to classify AD whose prognosis has already been completed by means of the use of typically the voxel depth (VI) of

International Research Journal in Global Engineering and Sciences. (IRJGES) ISSN : 2456-172X | Vol. 1, No. 3, November, 2016 | Pages 62 - 69

MRI 3D or PET snap shots as a feature [6, 7], physical Characteristics such as size and shape [8], histograms of the gradient [9] and textural evaluation features [10, 11]. Different techniques used points using to k-Nearest-Neighbours, Support Vector Machines (SVMs), artificial neural community (ANN), and Naïve Bayes in the classification section [12-15] with the aid of the usage of different facts sets like Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) and Oasis Brain Dataset (OASIS) and the accuracy of all classifiers methods were extraordinary from the distinction of the datasets [16, 17]. The accuracy of ANN and KNN classifiers performed 99% for classification of AD [18]. Another classification accuracies be triumphant by 98.87% [19], while 90.97% has been got by means of Boosting classifier [20]. Different research have one of a kind classification steps according to levels of this disorder that have been categorised according to these steps which are AD versus NC, MCI versus AD and AD versus MCI but there are differences that can classify all those stages of disease by using one classification step using KNN, SVM, NN, and different classification techniques [21, 22].

2. Materials & Methods

Dataset Information The dataset that used to be used in this study was once from the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Centre (NACC) that consists of 120 issue Aged 57 to ninety one Table 1 summarized the characteristics of the subjects protected in this study.

Subjects	NC	MCI	AD
Number of Subjects	40	40	40
Age	67.3 ±10.5	71.1 ± 11	65.5 ± 9.5
Male/Female	(10/30)	(12/28)	(21/19)
NORM COG	1	0	0
MRI dx	1	3	4

Table 1. Subjects used in Classification.

NORM COG; It means that the subject has normal cognition (No MCI, dementia or other neurological condition). MRI dx; identifying that the subject has NC or MCI or AD.

Dataset Preprocessing

Ten slices had been selected, which have greater statistics for the brain from the 3D-T1 MRI for all topics and after choice of slices with the aid of the use of photograph processing techniques. The chosen slices have been filtered to dispose of salt and paper noise and then all dataset wants to normalized by using the usage of location of interest to dispose of the black vicinity outdoor of the brain.

Feature Extraction

After noise discount and normalization of all dataset subjects, for attaining the final stage of classification of all subjects. First, the extraction of features from Dicom images. The function extraction methodology is for growing facets from the dataset that has been associated to the identification of ordinary cognition, Alzheimer's disease, and slight cognitive impairment. The aspects that have been Chosen protected grey degree co-occurrence matrices (GLCMs) Textural Analysis Features, bodily characteristics, and Asymmetry Features. Table 2. Shows the elements extracted from slices.

	1-Mean	
	2-Entropy	
	3-Energy	
	4-Contrast	
	5-Corraletion	
Feature Vector	6-Homogeneity	
	7-Skewness	
	8-Kurtosis	
	9-Total Area Brain (Pixel)	
	10-Total Black Area (Pixel)	
	11-Gradient Mean	
	12-Image Symmetry	

Table 2. The feature vector.

Classification

Classification of patterns is very important in the field of medical image processing after feature extraction for detection of disease before patients go to the dangerous side effect stage of these diseases, As it is shown in the flow chart in fig 1 the steps applied to the classification of NC, MCI, and AD.



Figure 1. Complete process of training and classification.

Firstly, practice support vector laptop Due to their greater overall performance in latest years. The Support Vector Machines attracted great attention and was once efficaciously applied to severa applications from laptop vision to computational biology, through the usage of SVM Polynomial kernel according to the following equation [23, 24].

$$k(x, y) = (x^t y + i)^p \tag{1}$$

Where x and y are two characteristic vectors, i is a free parameter buying and selling off the have an effect on of higher-order versus lower-order terms in the polynomial. The procedure used in this find out about the use of SVM has two classification steps, the first step of classification is to classify everyday topics versus all the up everyday situation (AD & amp; MCI) and the 2nd step of classification is to classify all up to the regular problem to moderate cognitive impairment or Alzheimer's disease.

Secondly, k-nearest neighbor KNN which assigns each check concern to the nearest classification in accordance to the nearest distance between the test concern and three classes. The test belongs to the nearest distance for category by the use of Euclidean distance [25]. KNN used for classifying all subjects to three classes NC, MCI, and AD in one step, no longer two steps as used in SVM classifier.

$$d = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2}$$
(2)

Where xi and yi are two feature vectors used in classification by means of using a variable quantity of neighbors to classify all subjects with this different values for k=4, 5, 6, 7.

3. Results and Discussion

Permutations and combinations for all extracted points for two classifiers to select the satisfactory common accuracy for each class, Twelve feature have been extracted for every slice from ten chosen slices, after extracting all features from slices, the averaging of these elements had been applied to classifiers, fig 2 proven the sample of facets extracted from one slice. Resulting accuracy of each classifier was the highest accuracy according to the best-selected points that certainly identify each class. Data consist of 120 subjects was once partitioned into 24 normal, 24 slight cognitive impairment and 24 with Alzheimer disease that was used for coaching the classifiers and 48 subjects for testing classifiers.



Figure 2. Sample of features extracted from one slice.

Testing data was partitioned into16 NC, 16 MCI, and sixteen AD. By random determination of the education and trying out data. The end result used to be a massive data for unique parameters in accordance to distinct classifiers. For KNN, the total accuracies from unique values of K for KNN algorithm have been summarized in table 3

Table 3. The Accuracy of KNN classifier with different values of K.

Number of nei	ghbors (K)	4	5	6	7
Total accuracy		85.42%	91.67%	95.83%	95.33%

The price of K is modified and records have been examined for 212 for all features and then pick out the quality quantity of elements that have the easiest accuracy for every type with one-of-a-kind values of neighbors as Illustrated in desk 4

Table 4. Apply KNN with different values of neighbor and select features which have the best accuracy for each class.

Number of neighbors (K)	Selected features	Accuracy
	2, 4, 5, 6, 12 NC	100%
4	1, 5, 8, 10 AD	68.75%
	2, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12MCI	87.5%
	8, 10, 11, 12 NC	100%
5	2, 7, 10, 12 AD	100%
	5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 MCI	75%
	2, 4, 5, 6, 12 NC	100%
б	1, 5, 8, 10 AD	100%
	2, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12MCI	87.5%
	3, 8, 12 NC	87.5%
7	3, 6, 7, 8 AD	100%
	4, 6, 7, 10 MCI	100%

For Support Vector Machine polynomial order, the fee of (P) is modified and examined the data for 212 variations of all features. Finally choose the satisfactory quantity of features that have the absolute best accuracy for each class (NC, MCI, AD) with one of a kind values of SVM Polynomial order 3, 4 as proven in fig 3 & amp; 4.

Resulting accuracy for SVM was 212 for 212 permutations for all features. The highest average accuracy used to be taken for the best-selected features that discover each class, and the whole end result accuracy for all training with specific SVM polynomial order was summarized in table 5.

Table 5. The average accuracy of SVM classifier polynomial with different order.

Polynomial Order	3	4
Total accuracy	97.92%	90%



Figure 3. The accuracy of NC, MCI, AD with the best features selected for each class using SVM polynomial order 3.



Figure 4. The accuracy of NC, MCI, AD with the best features selected for each class using SVM polynomial order 4.

4. Conclusion

This study introduced two special tactics to help docs in their distinguishing, classification of NC, MCI, and AD via decreasing the dimensionality of the feature vectors of the records set by using choice of the excellent elements that have made the very best accuracy, while the ancient effects accuracies are not high enough to absolutely cast off the want for a doctor's approval. The two Approaches we proposed have the highest accuracy instead than elder studies, SVM has 97.92% accuracy with polynomial order three The chosen elements mean, contrast, Kurtosis, and whole location brain are the best-selected facets for figuring out ordinary cognitive, energy, homogeneity And Skewness for identifying Alzheimer's disease. And mean entropy, contrast, homogeneity, kurtosis, and photo symmetry for figuring out mild cognitive impairment. These accuracies for SVM is the excellent accuracy in contrast to older accuracies for classifying AD as referred to before. KNN use mixtures of special features that are extracted from the image with permutation for these features we have 95.83% accuracy using KNN with K=6 and K=7, these accuracies have been the excellent for using these common mixtures of facets and classification compared to previous studies.

International Research Journal in Global Engineering and Sciences. (IRJGES) ISSN : 2456-172X | Vol. 1, No. 3, November, 2016 | Pages 62 - 69

The contributions of the paper, in this learn about the classification based totally on ten slices selected from 3D T1 MRI, no longer all 3D volume. This study classifies in accordance to averaging of facets chosen from ten slices, no longer all points extracted from ten slices. According to these, we limit going for walks time to procedure data. Finally, this study the use of a new information set from NACC.

References

- [1] Brookmeyer, Ron, Sarah Gray, and Claudia Kawas. "Projections of Alzheimer's disease in the United States and the public health impact of delaying disease onset." American journal of public health 88.9 (1998): 1337-1342.
- [2] Bloudek, Lisa M., et al. "Review and meta-analysis of biomarkers and diagnostic imaging in Alzheimer's disease." Journal of Alzheimer's Disease 26.4 (2011): 627-645.
- [3] Alkhiary, Yaser M., et al. "A new numerical model to analyze stress distribution of TMJ disc from 2-D MRI scans." Advances in Computing 2.5 (2012): 66-75.
- [4] Fratiglioni, Laura, et al. "Risk factors for late- onset Alzheimer's disease: A population- based, case- control study." Annals of neurology 33.3 (1993): 258-266.
- [5] Ping Tian, Dong. "A review on image feature extraction and representation techniques." International Journal of Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering 8.4 (2013): 385-396.
- [6] Fan, Yong, et al. "Structural and functional biomarkers of prodromal Alzheimer's disease: a high-dimensional pattern classification study." Neuroimage 41.2 (2008): 277-285.
- [7] Wenlu, Yang, et al. "ICA-based automatic classification of PET images from ADNI database." International Conference on Neural Information Processing. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [8] Yang, Mingqiang, Kidiyo Kpalma, and Joseph Ronsin. "A survey of shape feature extraction techniques." (2008): 43-90.
- [9] Dalal, Navneet, and Bill Triggs. "Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection." Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2005. CVPR 2005. IEEE Computer Society Conference on. Vol. 1. IEEE, 2005.
- [10] Arivazhagan, S., and L. Ganesan. "Texture classification using wavelet transform." Pattern recognition letters 24.9-10 (2003): 1513-1521.
- [11] Savio, Alexandre, et al. "Classification results of artificial neural networks for alzheimer's disease detection." International Conference on Intelligent Data Engineering and Automated Learning. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009.
- [12] Magnin, Benoît, et al. "Support vector machine-based classification of Alzheimer's disease from whole-brain anatomical MRI." Neuroradiology 51.2 (2009): 73-83.
- [13] Wang, Bing, Yong Zeng, and Yupu Yang. "Generalized nearest neighbor rule for pattern classification." Intelligent Control and Automation, 2008. WCICA 2008. 7th World Congress on. IEEE, 2008.
- [14] Payan, Adrien, and Giovanni Montana. "Predicting Alzheimer's disease: a neuroimaging study with 3D convolutional neural networks." arXiv preprint arXiv:1502.02506 (2015).
- [15] Zhang, Yudong, Shuihua Wang, and Zhengchao Dong. "Classification of Alzheimer disease based on structural magnetic resonance imaging by kernel support vector machine decision tree." Progress In Electromagnetics Research 144 (2014): 171-184.

- [16] Wang, Shuihua, et al. "Pathological brain detection by artificial intelligence in magnetic resonance imaging scanning (invited review)." Progress in Electromagnetics Research 156 (2016): 105-133.
- [17] Cabral, Carlos, and Margarida Silveira. "Classification of Alzheimer's disease from FDG-PET images using favourite class ensembles." Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2013 35th Annual International Conference of the IEEE. IEEE, 2013.
- [18] Rajini, N. Hema, and R. Bhavani. "Classification of MRI brain images using k-nearest neighbor and artificial neural network." Recent Trends in Information Technology (ICRTIT), 2011 International Conference on. IEEE, 2011.